

REMARKS

In the Office Action, dated January 30, 2006, the Examiner states that Claims 1-8 are pending, Claims 1-7 are rejected and Claim 8 is withdrawn. By the present Amendment, Applicant amends the specification, the claims, and the drawings.

In the Office Action, drawing Figures 1-3 are objected to for not including descriptive legends. The Applicant has revised the drawings to add the legends required by the Examiner. No new matter has been added.

In the Office Action, the Examiner indicates that the Applicant's previous arguments have been considered but are not persuasive because the claim limitations "make-up counseling" and "make-up for a subject's face" are within the preamble of Claim 1, and thus is not given any patentable weight. The Applicant has amended the claims to introduce those limitations into the body of Claim 1. The Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the Applicant's previous arguments against the rejections to the claims.

In light of the foregoing response, all the outstanding objections and rejections are considered overcome. Applicant respectfully submits that this application should now be in condition for allowance and respectfully requests favorable consideration.

Respectfully submitted,



Attorney for Applicant
Brian W. Hameder
c/o Ladas & Parry LLP
224 South Michigan Avenue
Chicago, Illinois 60604
(312) 427-1300
Reg. No. 45613

April 17, 2006

Date